

# **GAR-T**eam

## La gestione del paziente che non risponde

### **Umberto Vitolo**

Ematologia Ospedaliera A.O.U. Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino





### **1.** Introduction

### 2. Relapsed/refractory patients after CAR-T cells: Possible treatments

- Bispecific antibodies
- Checkpoint inhibitors
- Radiotherapy
- Bispecific CAR-T
- New biological treatments for R/R DLBCL possibly with low hematological toxicity
- Role of allogeneic transplantation



# Introduction

### Issues for salvage treatment

- 60% of DLBCL patients failed CAR-T cell treatment
- Some of them have rapidly progressive disease and no time for salvage treatment
- 20–30% of the patients experienced prolonged cytopenia
- Hypogammaglobulinemia and increased infectious risk
- Few options available
- Few studies and some case reports
- Need of early recognition of poor outcome

### 61 patients with DLBCL, PMBCL, HGBCL



- 46 (75%) received subsequent therapies
- Initial therapies included: Second CAR-T of same construct (14), novel/targeted therapy (14), chemotherapy +/- rituximab (7), radiotherapy (5), PD1inhibitors (4), intrathecal chemotherapy (1), and allogeneic HSCT (1)
- At time of progression, 16% (N=10) and 26% (N=16) of patients in our population were noted to have grade ≥3 neutropenia and thrombocytopenia
- 9 patients alive and in remission for ≥12 months after progression. Last line of therapy included radiotherapy (2), allogeneic HSCT (2), ibrutinib (2), subsequent CD19- specific CAR-T (1), nivolumab (1), and lenalidomide (1)

DLBCL: diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; PMBCL: primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma; HGBCL: high-grade B-cell lymphoma; OS: overall survival: HSCT: hematopoietic stem cell transplantation PD: progression disease

# **CAR-Team** Early and late hematologic toxicity following CD19 CAR-T cells



ANC: absolute neutrophil count; PLT: platelet; PRBC: packed red blood cell

Mod. da Fried S, et al. Bone Marrow Transplantation 2019; 54: 1643–1650

Potential mechanisms of CAR-T failure

# CO x20

### **CD19 epitope loss** Loss of CD19 epitope

Loss of CD19 epitope by uncertain mechanisms in lymphoma

#### Host or tumor factors

Upregulation of negative regulatory receptors on CAR-T cells or ligands on tumor or microenvironment; high tumor burden and inadequate target to effector ratio.

### T cell specific factors

Inadequate central memory and/or stem central memory CAR-T cells; pre-manufacture T cell dysfunction due to disease or prior therapy; inadequate cytokine profile; paucity of CD4 CAR-T cells; insufficient CAR-T cell expansion or persistence. Potential treatment strategies

Alternative CAR-T cells

CAR-T cells against alternative targets; allogeneic transplantation for patients able to achieve post relapse remission

#### Checkpoint inhibitors

Checkpoint blockade, immuno modulation with ImiDs, ITKi, or other agents; additional CD19 CAR-T cell therapy

#### Immunomodulation

Immunomodulation with IMIDs ITKi, or other off the shelf CAR-T cell strategies.

of CAR T-cell treatment failure and potential treatment strategies

Proposed/known mechanisms

Standard chimeric antigen receptor treatment



B-cell lymphoma CAR-T cell







# Relapsed/refractory patients after CAR-T cells: Possible treatments



Bispecific antibodies

**CAR-Team** Bispecific antibodies



CD3

CD20

Tumor cell

**CD20 x CD3** 

### Mosunetuzumab (RG7828; BTCT4465A)

- Full-length, fully humanized IgG1 bispecific antibody<sup>1</sup>
- Redirects T cells to engage and eliminate B cells; T-cell activation, cytokine elevation and increase in TILs observed (*Hernandez et al. ASH 2019 P-1585*)
- No ex-vivo T cell manipulation required ('off-the-shelf' and no delay in treatment)

### GO29781

- Phase I/Ib dose-escalation and expansion study in heavily pre-treated R/R B-cell NHL
- Cycle 1 step-up dosing: Mitigates CRS, allowing dose escalation to maximize therapeutic potential<sup>2,3</sup>

# Are reported data for 270 R/R B-cell NHL patients, including 30 patients with prior CAR-T

Registry number: NCT02500407

CRS: cytokine release syndrome; NHL: non-Hodgkin lymphoma; R/R: relapsed/refractory; TILs: tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes



Mod. da Schuster SJ, et al. Blood 2019; 134 (Suppl\_1): 6 1. Sun LL, et al. Sci Transl Med 2015; 7: 287ra70; 2. Budde LE, et al. Blood 2018; 132 (Suppl\_1): 399; 3 Bartlett NL, et al. J Clin Oncol 2019; 37 (Suppl\_15): 7518

n (%)	N=2	70*
Median age, years (range)	62	(19–96)
Male	172	(63.7%)
ECOG PS 1 at baseline	164	(61.2%)†
Aggressive NHL	180	(66.7%)
DLBCL	117	(43.3%)
trFL	32	(11.9%)
MCL	23	(8.5%)
Other	8	(3.0%)
Indolent NHL	85	(31.5%)
FL	82	(30.4%)
Other	3	(1.1%)
Median prior systemic therapies, n (range)	3	(1–14)†
Prior CAR-T therapy	30	(11.1%)
Prior autologous SCT	77	(28.5%)
Refractory <sup>‡</sup> to last prior therapy	194	(71.9%)
Refractory <sup>‡</sup> to prior anti-CD20 therapy	233	(86.3%)

CCOD (clinical cut-off date): Aug 9, 2019

\*safety evaluable patients; †n=268, as two patients did not have data entered by CCOD; ‡no response

(PR or CR) or PD within ≤6 months of treatment

trFL: transformed follicular lymphoma; MCL: mantle cell lymphoma; SCT: stem cell transplantation

### **30 patients with prior CAR-T therapy**

- 17 DLBCL, 8 trFL, 5 FL
- Median 5 lines of prior systemic therapies (range 3–14)
- 29 patients (96.7%) refractory to prior anti-CD20 therapy
- 25 patients (83.3%) refractory to last prior therapy
- 22 patients (73.3%) refractory to prior CAR-T therapy

	Safety evaluable N=270	Prior CAR-T N=30	
CRS (Lee et al. 2014)	29%	27%	
Grade 1/2	28%	23%	
Grade 3	1%	3%	
NT	44%	43%	
Grade 1/2	40%	33%	
Grade 3	4%	10%	
Potential ICANS	1%	0%	

- 95% of AEs occurred in cycle 1; no cumulative or chronic toxicity
- Neutropenia was responsive to GCSF; rate of febrile neutropenia was low (3%)
- CRS onset was a median of 4 days (range 1–43) after dosing and lasted a median of 2 days (range 1–59)
- 97% of CRS events resolved by the cutoff date; tocilizumab was used in 3% of cases
- No CRS during retreatment
- The most common NAEs were headache (16%), insomnia (9%), and dizziness (9%)
- ICANS-like AEs included 2 confusion (1 related) and 1 lethargy (related); all resolved within 3 days

NT: neurotoxicity; NAEs: neurological adverse events; ICANS: immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome; GCSF: granulocyte colony-stimulating factor

### Efficacy

	N*	ORR, n (%)	CR, n (%)
All histologies	18	7 (38.9%)	4 (22.2%)
• DLBCL	9	2 (22.2%)	2 (22.2%)
• trFL	5	1 (20.0%)	0 (0.0%)
• FL	4	4 (100%)	2 (50.0%)

## Day-12 (baseline)



# After cycle 3 of mosunetuzumab



### 380 copies/µg DNA

• 8 months in CR off treatment

### Case

- 58-year old patient with R/R FL
- 8 prior lines of systemic treatment
  - Refractory to prior anti-CD20 and alkylating agents
  - Relapsed after CD19-CAR-T therapy
  - Progressed on checkpoint inhibitor and no response to PI3K inhibitor

### **Exploratory biomarkers**

- Expansion of lymphocytes (including residual CAR-T cells in 2/8 tested patients)
- CR to mosunetuzumab observed with or without CAR-T expansion

\*efficacy-evaluable patients: patients who were enrolled for at least 3 months, or had response data available at any time, or discontinued treatment for any cause CCOD: Aug 9, 2019

#### Mod. da Schuster SJ, et al. Blood 2019; 134 (Suppl\_1): 6



### Escalating dose of subcutaneous epcoritamab in R/R B-cell NHL: High rate of complete response and favorable safety profile



Epcoritamab (DuoBody<sup>®</sup>-CD3xCD20) is a subcutaneously administered bispecific antibody that induces T cell-mediated killing of CD20-expressing tumors

- Induces T cell activation by binding to CD3 on T cells and CD20 on malignant B cells
- Promotes immunological synapse between bound cells, resulting in apoptosis of B cells
- Binds to a distinct epitope on CD20, different from the epitopes of rituximab and obinutuzumab

### **Response by histology**

Pagnanga	<b>DLBCL</b> (n=46)		<b>FL</b> (n=12)		MCL	
Response	12–60 mg (n=23)	48–60 mg (n=12)	0.76–48 (n=11)	12–48 (n=5)	(n=4)	
Evaluable patients, n	22	11	10	5	4	
ORR, n (%)	15 (68)	10 (91)	9 (90)	4 (80)	2 (50)	
CR	10 (46)	6 (55)	5 (50)	3 (60)	1 (25)	
PR	5 (23)	4 (36)	4 (40)	1 (20)	1 (25)	
Stable disease, n (%)	1 (5)	0	0	0	1 (25)	
Progressive disease, n (%)	5 (23)	0	1 (10)	1 (20)	0	



Checkpoint inhibitors

# **CAR-Team** Possible mechanisms of resistance to CAR-T



## **CAR-Team** Relapse after CAR-T infusion: Pembrolizumab

35-year old man with multiple refractory PMBCL with multiple extranodal involvement treated with CAR-T19 cells, progressed at day +26. He received pembrolizumab, 2 mg/kg, on day 26 after CAR-T19 cell infusion and then every 3 weeks. PET at day 186 PMR



	Day 14	Duy 20	Day 45
Clone 1	6.10%	6.10%	13.11%
Clone 2	2.35%	2.90%	6.45%
Clone 3	0.00%	0.27%	3.57%
Clone 4	0.40%	0.40%	2.15%
Clone 5	0.12%	0.27%	1.49%
Clone 6	0.00%	0.04%	1.46%
Clone 7	0.57%	0.91%	1.31%
Clone 8	0.07%	0.32%	1.23%
Clone 9	1.08%	0.81%	0.99%

Day 26

Day 14

Day 45





- 46 years old with DLBCL PDL1+ refractory to 3 lines of therapy
- Treated in Zuma-1 trial with rapid progression after CAR-T cell infusion
- On day 11 he received nivolumab 3 mg/kg with grade 3 CRS
- Rapid tumor regression after 1 cycle of nivolumab associated with rapid re-expansion of CAR-T cells

# **CAR-Team** CAR-T cell therapy + pembrolizumab

- Single phase 2 trial for R/R B-cell NHL after treatment with CAR-T19
- 12 patients (11 DLBCL, 1 FL).
- Median PFS after CAR-T: 2.2 months
- Pembrolizumab fixed dose 200 mg every 3 weeks
- CRS 1 patient
- Few side effects: Neutropenia, fatigue, pleural effusion
- ORR 27% (1 CR, 2 PR, 1 SD, 7 PD)
- 9/12 showed a re-expansion peak in peripheral blood CAR-T19 cells
- Maximum CAR transgene copy number did not correlate with response, but responding had more than one re-espansion peak

Different pattern of resistance after CAR-T cell treatment. CD19 loss and PDL-1 upregulation





— 2.3 — Radiotherapy



Early experience using salvage radiotherapy for relapsed/refractory non-Hodgkin lymphomas after CD19 chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cell therapy: 14 patients at MSKCC

	CAR-T product	Best post CAR-T response	Indication for SRT	Irradiated site	SRT Dose (Gy)	SRT fract.		
14	CAR 19-28z	CR	Focal relapse. follicular histology	Upper mediastinum	46	23		
13	CAR 19-28z	CR	Bridge to alloSCT	Chest wall	36	18	•	<b>♦</b> • • •
12	JCAR017	PR	Focal relapse, chemorefractory	Mesentery	30	20	• *	
11	JCAR017	PR	Bridge to alloSCT	Mediastinum, manubrium	45	26	■ ◆ →	Disease extent a CAR-T infusion
10	Tisa-cel	PD	Bridge to alloSCT	Mesentery	45	30	● ◆ →	
9	Tisa-cel	CR	Focal relapse, palliation	Thoracic paraspinal	20	5	• •	Advanced
8	JCAR017	PR	Augment CAR response	Mesentery/perinephrin	20	5		Radiotherapy
7	Tisa-cel	CR	Palliation of neuro symptoms	WBRT	30	10		Allogeneic transplant
6	JCAR017	PR	Palliation airway compromise	Larynx	30	10	*	Additional CAR-T infusion
5	Armored	CR	Palliation	Gluteal soft tissue	20	5	*	Disease milestones
4	JCAR017	PR	Dominant site of progression	Mesentery	36	20		<ul> <li>Post CAR-1 relapse (RD1)</li> <li>Complete response (CR)</li> </ul>
3	Axi-cel	PD	Palliation of cord compression	Thoracolumbar spine	20	5	<b>◆</b> ∗	Partial response (PR)     Bragrassiva disease (PD2)
2	JCAR017	PD	Palliation airway compromise	Bilateral neck	20	10	•	<ul> <li>Ongoing response</li> </ul>
1	JCAR017	PR	Palliation	Thigh cutaneous/soft tissue	30	15	<b>*</b>	* Death
							0 12	24 36 48 60 72

**Discussion points** 

- Post CAR-T failure: 79% relapsed/progressed in previous PET-avid sites. Need for RT consolidation to high risk lesions sites after/before CAR-T?
- Preclinically, low-dose RT conditioning can sensitize antigen-negative tumour cells to CAR-T-mediated elimination by activated CAR-T secretion of TRAIL cytokines.
- RT-CAR-T synergy may be via abscopal effects producing enhanced tumour-specific immunity against irradiated and distant sites











# Bispecific CAR-T





Mod. da Grupp SA, et al. N Engl J Med 2014; 371: 1507–1517; Sotillo E, et al. Cancer Discov 2015; 5: 1282–1295; Jacoby E, et al. Nat Commun 2016; 7: 12320

# **CAR-Team** Bispecific anti-CD20, anti-CD19 CAR-T

Baseline characteristics	n=22 (%)
Age at infusion in years, median (range)	57 (38–72)
Male sex	19 (86 %)
Race	
European ancestry	19 (86%)
Other	3 (14%)
Histology	
DLBCL	11 (50%)
Richter's transformation	2 (9%)
MYC rearrangement	5 (23%)
MCL	7 (32%)
CLL	3 (14%)
FL	1 (4%)
Baseline LDH, median (range)	229 (121–2074)
Refractory to last line of treatment	18 (82%)
Lines of prior therapy, median (range)	4 (2–12)
History of prior autologous HCT	8 (37%)
History of prior allogeneic HCT	3 (14%)
History of prior anti-CD19 CAR-T cell therapy	1 (5%)
Prior BTK inhibitor treatment (patients with MCL or CLL only;	10 (100%)
n=10)	10 (10070)
Dose (max body weight of 80 kg)	
2.5 x 10 <sup>5</sup> cells per kg	3 (14%)
7.5 x 10 <sup>5</sup> cells per kg	3 (14%)
2.5 x 10 <sup>6</sup> cells per kg	16 (73%)
Non-cryopreserved infusion	15 (68%)
Split infusion (30% on day 0, 70% on day 1)	16 (73%)
Clinical outcomes at day 28	40 (000()
Day 28: ORR, all dose levels (n=22)	18 (82%)
	14 (04%)
PK Dev 29 ODD, deep of 2 5v106 cells per kg (n. 16)	4 (18%)
Day 20 OKK, dose of 2.5x10° cells per kg (II=10)	14 (00%)
	12 (75%)
FR	2 (13%)
Day 28 ORR, dose of 2.5x10 <sup>6</sup> cells per kg, fresh infusion (n=12)	12 (100%)
CR	11 (92%)
PR	1 (8%)
DLBCL day 28 ORR (n=11)	10 (91%)
CR	7 (64%)
PR	3 (27%)
MCL day 28 ORR (n=7)	4 (57%)
CR	4 (57%)
PR	0
CLL day 28 ORR (n=3)	3 (100%)
CR	2 (66%)
PR	1 (33%)
FL day 28 ORR (n=1)	1 (100%)
CR	1 (100%)
Median IgG at day 28 (mg/mL)	4.72 (0.99–7.71)
Received IVIG for hypogammaglobulinemia post-CAR infusion	15 (68%)







CD3 CAR+ T cells for PD patients  $4 \times 10^{6}$ 3 × 10<sup>6</sup> 2 × 10<sup>6</sup> 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> Patient #3
 Patient #5 Patient #15 5 × 10<sup>5</sup> Patient #25 4 × 10<sup>5</sup> 3 × 10<sup>5</sup> 2 × 10<sup>5</sup> 1 × 10 Day post-infusion

SUBJECT 01: Pre/post CAR-T cell PET/CT

- 1st CAR-T

- 2nd CAR-T

60 -

40

cell Fold To





Day 8 - T cell exp. Day 14 - T cell exp. Percent of CAR+ T cells



These data suggest that dual targeting of CD19 and CD20 is a promising combination to overcome antigen loss in **B** cell NHL and CLL



# 2.5 New biological treatments for R/R DLBCL possibly with low hematological toxicity

# **CAR-Team** L-MIND: A multicentre, prospective single-arm, phase II study

### MOR208 Fc-enhanced, anti-CD19 mAb

- ADCC 1
- ADCP 1
- Direct cell death
- Encouraging single agent activity in NHL patients with long DoR in R/R DLBCL



### Lenalidomide

- T and NK cell activation/expansion
- Direct cell death
- Demonstrated activity as an anti-lymphoma agent, alone or in combination
- Approved for treatment of MCL and FL/MZL

Potentiation of activity by combining tafasitamab and LEN in vivo and in vitro

Mod. Da Salles G, et al. Lancet Oncol 2020; 21: 978–988

Horton HM, et al. Cancer Res 2008; Awan FT, et al. Blood 2010; Richter J, et al. Blood 2013; MorphoSys data on file; Wu L, et al. Clin Cancer Res 2008; Lapalombella R, et al. Blood 2008; Zhang L H, et al. Br J 2013, Wiernik PH, et al. J Clin Oncol 2008; Witzig TE, et al. Ann Oncol 2011; Czuczman MS, et al. Clin Cancer Res 2017; Jurczak W, et al. Ann Oncol 2018

## **CAR-Team** Tafasatinib + lenalidomide in 80 patients with R/R DLBCL



Mod. da Salles G, et al. Lancet Oncol 2020; 21: 978–988

### Phase 2 randomized study in 80 transplant ineligible R/R DLBCL patients: BR ± Pola

#### ADVERSE EVENTS IN PATIENTS TREATED WITH POLA-BR COMPARED WITH BR

	Pola-BR (n=39)		BR (	n=39)			
Adverse event	All grades, N (%)	Grade 3–4, N (%)	All grades, N (%)	Grade 3–4, N (%)			
Blood and lymphatic system disorders							
Anemia	21 (53.8)	11 (28.2)	10 (25.6)	7 (17.9)			
Neutropenia	21 (53.8)	18 (46.2)	15 (38.5)	13 (33.3)			
Thrombocytopenia	19 (48.7)	16 (41.0)	11 (28.2)	9 (23.1)			
Lymphopenia	5 (12.8)	5 (12.8)	0	0			
Febrile neutropenia	4 (10.3)	4 (10.3)	5 (12.8)	5 (12.8)			
GI disorders							
Diarrhea	15 (38.5)	1 (2.6)	11 (28.2)	1 (2.6)			
Nausea	12 (30.8)	0	16 (41.0)	0			
Constipation	7 (17.9)	0	8 (20.5)	1 (2.6)			
General disorders an	General disorders and administration site conditions						
Fatigue	14 (35.9)	1 (2.6)	14 (35.9)	1 (2.6)			
Pyrexia	13 (33.3)	1 (2.6)	9 (23.1)	0			
Metabolism and nutrition disorders							
Decreased appetite	10 (25.6)	1 (2.6)	8 (20.5)	0			
Peripheral neuropathy							
Peripheral neuropathy	17 (43.6)	0	3 (7.7)	0			







# **2.6**Role of allogeneic transplantation



- Innovative strategies for patients who fail CAR-T include: Kinase inhibitors, polatuzumab, bispecific antibodies, checkpoint inhibitors
- All these agents provide short-lived response
- Investigating allo-HCT consolidation for sensitive post-CAR-T relapse is worthwhile
- However, in a real-word study only 5/61 patients underwent allo-HCT post CAR-T failure